

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration and further examination are requested.

By the current Amendment, claim 8 has been amended, claim 29 has been cancelled, and claims 30-40 have been added.

The Examiner rejected claims 8-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carroll et al. in view of Smith et al. and SanGiovanni, and the Examiner indicated that claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, without acquiescing to the appropriateness of the prior art rejection issued by the Examiner, and solely to further advance prosecution of this application, the subject matter of claim 29 has been incorporated into independent claim 8. Also, please note that claim 8 has been further amended by deleting therefrom the limitation that the first axis is sometimes parallel to the display screen. This deletion has been made because from the Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter, as expressed in section 4 on page 4 of the Office Action, it appears as though this limitation is not necessary for patentability. It is thus respectfully submitted that claims 8-28 and 30 should now be allowed by the Examiner.

Also, as alluded to earlier, claim 31 has been added, which is believed to be allowable over the references relied upon by the Examiner for the following reasons.

Claim 31 corresponds to claim 8 prior to the instant amendment thereto, with the additional limitation that

the first axis is in a plane that is between said display screen and
a surface of said display unit opposite to said display screen...and
the second axis is in a plane that is between said display screen and
a surface of said display unit opposite to said display screen.

Such a positional arrangement of the first and second axes is shown in Figure 3, for example.

Though Smith et al. can arguably be said to disclose a first axis $\phi 1$ which is sometimes parallel to a display screen of the display monitor 22, and a second axis $\phi 4$ which is always parallel to the display screen, these axes are **not** in planes that are between the display screen and a surface of the

display monitor 22 that is opposite to the display screen. In this regard, as shown in Figure 5, while axis $\phi 4$ is in a plane that is between the display screen and a surface of the display monitor that opposite to the display screen, axis $\phi 1$ is located in a plane that is **not** between the display screen and a surface of the display monitor that is opposite to the display screen.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that new claim 31 is allowable over a combination of the references relied upon by the Examiner. Thus, claims 31-40 are also allowable.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing this Amendment, the Examiner believes that any issues remain which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Hideki HARADA

By: 

Joseph M. Gorski
Registration No. 46,500
Attorney for Applicant

JMG/edg
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
September 23, 2003